



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/674,600   | 12/13/2000  | Lorenz Camenzind     | P/543-103              | 1539             |
| 2352   | 7590        | 09/08/2006           | EXAMINER               |                  |
| OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN<br>1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS<br>NEW YORK, NY 100368403 |             |                      | VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN |                  |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |             |                      | 2859                   |                  |

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                        |                     |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|                          | 09/674,600             | CAMENZIND ET AL.    |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |
|                          | Gail Verbitsky         | 2859                |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Gail Verbitsky. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Mr. Markowitz. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 August 2006.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 23, 50, 52, 68, 79 and 80.

Identification of prior art discussed: Schaub.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A) Applicant will amend claims comprising the limitation including "Access-Control-Circuit" by combining said claims with limitations comprising "Emergency-Localization Transmitter" (claim 73).  
B) Perhaps applicant will amend the claims 52, 68, 79-80 such that to introduce the criticality of the cover/casing.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

GAIL VERBITSKY  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner's signature, if required